

CHROM. 23 210

## Short Communication

---

### Gradient C<sub>18</sub> high-performance liquid chromatography of gibberellins

JIANN-TSYH LIN\* and ALLAN E. STAFFORD

*Western Regional Research Center, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Albany, CA 94710 (U.S.A.)*

GEORGE L. STEFFENS

*Beltsville Agricultural Research Center, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Beltsville, MD 20705 (U.S.A.)*

and

NOBORU MUROFUSHI

*Department of Agricultural Chemistry, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo (Japan)*

(First received October 30th, 1990; revised manuscript received February 14th, 1991)

---

#### ABSTRACT

Retention times of 66 gibberellins (GAs) in gradient C<sub>18</sub> high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) are reported. These include the retention times of 21 new GAs added to our previously reported 24 GAs. Retention times of the other 21 GAs are the ranges estimated from previously reported C<sub>18</sub> HPLC. The polarity order (elution order) of GAs with hydroxyl groups at different locations and orientations is 12a > 13 > 11β > 16a > 1a > 2a > 15β > 1β > 2β > 3a > 3β.

---

#### INTRODUCTION

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is now a routine procedure for the purification and separation of gibberellins (GAs), a group of plant hormones. We have previously reported both the reversed-phase C<sub>18</sub> HPLC and the normal-phase silica HPLC of GAs and their methyl esters [1]. These four HPLC systems can be sequentially used for purification and identification of radioactive GAs in metabolism studies using a radioactive flow detector. Reversed-phase C<sub>18</sub> HPLC of free GAs has been the most frequently used and reported HPLC system [1–6]. For the positive identification of endogenous GAs in plants, gradient C<sub>18</sub> HPLC of free GAs is usually used before bioassay and gas chromatography (GC)–mass spectrometry (MS) or GC-selected ion monitoring (SIM). We reported previously the retention times of 24 GAs in a gradient C<sub>18</sub> HPLC system. Jensen *et al.* [2] reported the retention times of 42

**GAs** in isocratic  $C_{18}$  HPLC systems. Since gradient  $C_{18}$  HPLC can separate all the free **GAs** in one HPLC run, and isocratic  $C_{18}$  HPLC cannot, gradient  $C_{18}$  HPLC is the method of choice for the analysis of endogenous **GAs** in plants. We report here the retention times of additional **GAs** in our gradient  $C_{18}$  HPLC system, and incorporate some data of Jensen *et al.* [2] and Koshioka *et al.* [3] into this HPLC system to assist the analysis of **GAs** of plant origin.

## EXPERIMENTAL

A Waters Assoc. liquid chromatograph was used which consisted of two pumps (M510), a multiwavelength detector (M490) and a data and chromatography control station (M840). The injector was a Rheodyne Model 7125. The column was a reversed-phase  $C_{18}$  column (25 cm x 4.6 mm I.D., 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , Ultrasphere ODS; Beckman, San Ramon, CA, U.S.A.). The GA standards (about 1-5  $\mu\text{g}$  each) dissolved in less than 25  $\mu\text{l}$  of methanol were chromatographed on the column. Eluent, linear gradient from 35% methanol in water (containing 0.05% acetic acid) to 100% methanol (containing 0.05% acetic acid) in 40 min; flow-rate, 1 ml/min; initial pressure, 120 bar; final pressure, 55 bar. ent-Kaurenoic acid and *ent*-kaurene (dissolved in ethanol) were eluted by the gradient followed by 100% methanol (containing 0.05% acetic acid) isocratically.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Retention times of **GAs** in the gradient  $C_{18}$  HPLC are given in Table I in the order of elution. We have previously reported the retention times of 24 **GAs** in this gradient  $C_{18}$  HPLC system [1]. Since that report we can add to this list the retention times of 16 GA standard and 5 endogenous **GAs** from immature apple seeds [7] which were identified by GC-SIM in HPLC fractions. The retention times of 21 other **GAs** in Table I were estimated as ranges based on previous publications by Jensen *et al.* [2] and Koshioka *et al.* [3]. The HPLC columns and eluents used by Jensen *et al.* [2] and Koshioka *et al.* [3] were different from those of this work. However, the orders of elutions of **GAs** shown were the same in general. When listing the retention ranges estimated in Table I we assumed that the elution orders of **GAs** including the **GAs** not available to us were the same. There are in total 66 **GAs** listed in Table I. Some **GAs** such as  $GA_{48}$ ,  $GA_{58}$ ,  $GA_{69}$  and  $GA_{71}$  not listed in Table I have been purified previously by gradient  $C_{18}$  HPLC [8,9], however, several HPLC fractions were combined for the identification by GC-MS and the ranges of the estimated retention times are too large to be listed here.

Retention times [2,4] and HPLC fractions [3,5] of **GAs** in isocratic [2,4,6] and gradient [3,5,6]  $C_{18}$  HPLC have been reported. The **GAs** for which new retention data were measured in this work are as follows with references of previous works:  $GA_8$  [2,3,5],  $GA_{29}$  [2,5],  $GA_{39}$  [2],  $GA_{33}$  [2], gibberellic acid [3],  $GA_{30}$  [2],  $GA_{23}$  [2,5],  $GA_{28}$  [2],  $GA_{38}$  [2],  $GA_{41}$  [2],  $GA_{26}$  [2],  $GA_3$  [2-6], 3-*epi*- $GA_1$  [3],  $GA_1$  [2-6],  $GA_{29}$  catabolite [3],  $\Delta^{1(10)}GA_1$  counterpart [3],  $GA_6$  [2],  $GA_{18}$  [2,3,5],  $GA_{35}$  [2],  $GA_1$  methyl ester [3],  $\Delta^{1(10)}GA_1$  counterpart methyl half ester [3],  $GA_{22}$  [2],  $GA_{21}$  [2],  $GA_{31}$  [2],  $GA_{43}$  [3],  $GA_5$  [2-6],  $GA_{10}$  [2],  $GA_{16}$  [2],  $GA_{20}$  [2-6],  $GA_{27}$  [2],  $GA_{47}$  [2],  $GA_{36}$  [2,5],  $GA_{13}$  [2-5],  $GA_{40}$  [2], alloberberic acid [3],  $GA_{44}$  [2,5],  $GA_{19}$  [2,3,5],  $GA_{34}$  [2,3],  $GA_{17}$

TABLE I

RETENTION TIMES OF GIBBERELLINS IN GRADIENT C<sub>18</sub> HPLC

For HPLC conditions, see Experimental.

Gibberellins <sup>a</sup>	Retention times (min)	Gibberellins	Retention times (min)
GA <sub>55</sub>	5.03	GA <sub>27</sub>	19.61
GA <sub>8</sub>	5.08	GA <sub>47</sub>	19.6–20.4 <sup>b</sup>
GA <sub>29</sub>	6.0–6.5 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>36</sub>	20.44
GA <sub>39</sub>	6.0–6.5 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>13</sub>	20.47
iso-GA <sub>3</sub>	6.36	GA <sub>68</sub>	20.5 <sup>c</sup>
GA <sub>32</sub>	6.50	GA <sub>40</sub>	20.5–22.0 <sup>b</sup>
GA <sub>33</sub>	6.98	Allogibberic acid	20.5–22.5 <sup>b</sup>
Gibberellenic acid	7.42	GA <sub>44</sub>	21.4 <sup>c</sup>
GA <sub>30</sub>	7.46	GA <sub>63</sub>	21.5 <sup>c</sup>
GA <sub>23</sub>	7.7–8.2 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>19</sub>	22.41
GA <sub>28</sub>	8.0–8.4 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>54</sub>	22.58
GA <sub>38</sub>	8.2–8.6 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>34</sub>	22.83
GA <sub>41</sub>	9.1–9.3 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>62</sub>	23.5
GA <sub>26</sub>	9.1–9.3 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>..</sub>	23.74
GA <sub>3</sub>	9.38	epi-Allogibberic acid	23.5–24.0 <sup>b</sup>
3-epi-GA <sub>1</sub>	9.41	GA <sub>..</sub>	24.07
GA <sub>1</sub>	10.51	GA <sub>61</sub>	24.5 <sup>c</sup>
GA <sub>29</sub> catabolite	10.5–11.0 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>51</sub>	24.5 <sup>c</sup>
$\Delta^{1(10)}$ GA <sub>1</sub> counterpart	11.32	3-epi-GA <sub>4</sub>	24.42
GA <sub>6</sub>	11.5–12.3 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>..</sub>	24.92
GA <sub>18</sub>	12.0–12.5 <sup>b</sup>	iso-GA <sub>7</sub>	25.0–26.0 <sup>b</sup>
GA <sub>35</sub>	12.89	GA <sub>4</sub>	26.07
GA <sub>1</sub> methyl ester	13.40	GA <sub>53</sub>	27.81
$\Delta^{1(10)}$ GA <sub>1</sub> counterpart methyl half ester	13.2–13.6 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>14</sub>	28.17
GA <sub>22</sub>	13.61	GA <sub>24</sub>	28.86
GA <sub>21</sub>	14.25	GA <sub>9</sub>	29.36
GA <sub>2</sub>	14.96	GA <sub>25</sub>	29.54
GA <sub>31</sub>	16.07	GA <sub>15</sub>	29.76
GA <sub>43</sub>	14.5–17.5 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>4</sub> methyl ester	29.0–31.0 <sup>b</sup>
GA <sub>5</sub>	17.86	GA <sub>12</sub>	32.0–38.0 <sup>b</sup>
GA <sub>10</sub>	17.8–18.4 <sup>b</sup>	GA <sub>12</sub> -ald	38.0–44.0 <sup>b</sup>
GA <sub>16</sub>	18.42	ent-Kaurenoic acid	44.82
GA <sub>20</sub>	18.97	ent-Kaurene	60.72

<sup>a</sup> For structures of GAs, see refs. 3 and 10.<sup>b</sup> The ranges of elution times were estimated from the data of Jensen et al. [2] and Koskioka et al. [3] (fraction/min).<sup>c</sup> Detected by GC-SIM in HPLC fractions (fraction/min) from immature apple seeds [7]. The ranges are  $\pm 0.5$  min.

[2,5], epi-allogibberic acid [3], GA<sub>37</sub>[2,5], GA<sub>51</sub>[2], 3-epi-GA<sub>4</sub>[3], GA<sub>7</sub>[2–6], iso-GA<sub>7</sub> [3], GA<sub>4</sub> [2–6], GA<sub>53</sub> [2,3], GA<sub>14</sub> L-51, GA<sub>24</sub> [2], GA<sub>9</sub> [2–6], GA<sub>25</sub> [3–5], GA<sub>15</sub> [2], GA<sub>4</sub> methyl ester [3], GA<sub>12</sub> [2,3,5], GA<sub>12</sub>-ald [2,3], ent-kaurenoic acid [3] and ent-kaurene [3].

The more polar compounds elute sooner from reversed-phase C<sub>18</sub> HPLC. We have previously given the polarity order (elution order) of GAs with hydroxyl groups at different locations and orientations as  $12\alpha > 16\alpha > 13 > 11\beta > la > 2a > 1\beta >$

$2\beta > 3\alpha > 3\beta$ . Based on the retention times of additional GAs reported in Table I, 1  $5\beta$ -hydroxyl GAs can be included in this order and the location of  $16\alpha$ -hydroxyl GAs in this polarity order is modified. The new polarity order is  $12\alpha > 13 > 11\beta > 16\alpha > 1a > 2\alpha > 15\beta > 1\beta > 2\beta > 3a > 3\beta$ . All of the examples we can find in Table I are as follows:  $12\alpha > 13$ ;  $GA_{31} > GA_5$ ,  $GA_{30} > GA_3$ ,  $GA_{39} > GA_{28}$ ,  $13 > 11\beta$ ;  $GA_1 > GA_{35}$ ,  $11\beta > 16a$ ;  $GA_{35} > GA_2$ ,  $16a > 1a$ ;  $GA_2 > GA_{16}$ ,  $1a > 2\alpha$ ;  $GA_{16} > GA_{47}$ ,  $2\alpha > 15\beta$ ;  $GA_{47} > GA_{63}$ ,  $15\beta > 1\beta$ ;  $GA_{63} > GA_{54}$ ,  $1\beta > 2\beta$ ;  $GA_{55} > GA_8$ ,  $GA_{54} > GA_{34}$ ,  $2\beta > 3a$ ;  $GA_{29} > 3\text{-epi-GA}_1$ ,  $3\alpha > 3\beta$ ;  $3\text{-epi-GA}_1 > GA_1$ ,  $3\text{-epi-GA}_4 > GA_+$ . All of the examples given here are without any exception in the polarity order, while the examples in the polarity order we gave previously [1] had one exception. Gibberellins with a double bond are more polar than those without the double bond in the  $C_{18}$  HPLC system and examples are as follows:  $GA_3 > GA_1$ ,  $GA_7 > GA_4$ ,  $GA_5 > GA_{20}$ ,  $GA_{62} > GA_{61}$ ,  $GA_{68} > GA_{63}$ .

The approximate retention times of GAs not listed in Table I can be predicted using the retention times in Table I and the retention properties given here. The HPLC of  $GA_{51,61,62,63,68}$  have not been previously reported and the standards are not presently available to us. We successfully predicted the retention times of these GAs in immature apple seeds [7] as demonstrated by GC-SIM of the correct HPLC fractions using the retention times in Table I and retention properties given here. Since 1-min fractions were collected, the retention times given in Table I are in the range of  $\pm 0.5$  min. The retention time of  $GA_{60}$  is not given in Table I, but it is eluted between  $GA_8$  and  $GA_1$  [11], which agrees with the retention properties presented.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 J.-T. Lin and A. E. Stafford, *J. Chromatogr.*, **452** (1988) 519.
- 2 E. Jensen, A. Crozier and A. M. Monteiro, *J. Chromatogr.*, **367** (1986) 377.
- 3 M. Koshioka, J. Harada, K. Takeno, M. Noma, T. Sassa, K. Ogiyama, J. S. Taylor, S. B. Rood, R. L. Legge and R. P. Pharis, *J. Chromatogr.*, **256** (1983) 101.
- 4 J.-T. Lin and E. Heftmann, *J. Chromatogr.*, **213** (1981) 507.
- 5 M. G. Jones, J. D. Metzger and J. A. D. Zeevaart, *Plant Physiol.*, **65** (1980) 218.
- 6 G. W. M. Barendse, P. H. van de Werken and N. Takahashi, *J. Chromatogr.*, **198** (1980) 449.
- 7 J.-T. Lin, A. E. Stafford and G. L. Steffens, personal communication.
- 8 P. Gaskin, S. J. Gilmour, J. R. Lenton, J. MacMillan and V. M. Sponsel, *J. Plant Growth Regul.*, **2** (1984) 229.
- 9 H. Yamane, S. Fujioka, C. R. Spray, B. O. Phinney, J. MacMillan, P. Gaskin and N. Takahashi, *Plant Physiol.*, **86** (1988) 857.
- 10 P. Hedden, in L. Rivier and A. Crozier (Editors), *Principles and Practice of Plant Hormone Analysis*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1987, p. 9.
- 11 P. Hedden, personal communication.